## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/722,372
 GREGORI, ERIC

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ANTONY M. PAUL
 2837

merview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANTONY M. PAUL	2837	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Antony M. Paul.	(3)Timothy E. Levstik.		
(2) <u>Lincoln Donovan</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 June 2008.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	<b>ə</b> ]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 7</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Richmond et al.(5,729,101).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed claim 1 regarding count zones and passpoint events</u> .  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Antony M Paul/			
Examiner, Art Unit 2837			